PATENT COOPERATION TREA (

From the		
INTERNATIONAL	SEARCHING	AUTHORITY





see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014419

International filing date (day/month/year) 24.09.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

25.09.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

C01B33/107, B01D53/22, H01L31/20, H01L21/205, C03B37/00, G01N30/14

To:

SHOWA DENKO K.K.

1.	This opinion	contains	indications	relating to	the	following	items:
----	--------------	----------	-------------	-------------	-----	-----------	--------

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

☑ Box No. II **Priority**

☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI

Certain documents cited

☐ Box No. VII

Certain defects in the international application

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3 For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

Arnotte, E

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8573



Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

10/573038 IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 22 MAR 2005

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014419

_							
_	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. type of material:						
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. format of material:							
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
	c. time of filing/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	h . C	a addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.					
4.	Additi	onal comments:					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014419

_	Во	x No. II	Priority						
1.	×	The fo	llowing document h	as not bee	en furnishe	ed:	315°''		
		\boxtimes	copy of the earlier	applicatio	n whose p	priority has b	 een claimed (Rul	e 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and	66.7(a)).
			translation of the	earlier app	lication wh	ose priority	has been claimed	l (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .	1 and 66.7(b)).
		Conse nevert	quently it has not b heless been establi	een possib shed on th	ole to cons ne assump	ider the vali	dity of the priority relevant date is t	claim. This o he claimed pr	pinion has riority date.
2.		has be	oinion has been est en found invalid (R ate indicated above	ules 43 <i>bis</i>	.1 and 64.	Thus for	the purposes of the	the fact that t nis opinion, th	the priority claim ne international
3.	It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.								
4.		ditional o	bservations, if necessary		or Pulo 4	2 hio 1/o/i)			Alice of the second
_			applicability; citati	ons and e	explanation	ons support	with regard to no ting such statem	ent	tive step or
1.	Sta	tement					₹ :		
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14	•		
	Inve	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14			
	Indi	ustrial a _l	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14			

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/014419

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1917

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

D1:US5145507

D2:WO 00/76915

D3: EP0129112

D4: WO 03/006374

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-14 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

claims 12-14.

Present claims 12-14 relate to gases for the production of either optical fibers, semiconductors or solar cells. The said gas contains the SiF4 obtained according to any of present claims 1-11.

The uses of this kind of gases is known from for instance D4 (see claims 23-25)

Moreover, since the SiF4 obtained according to any of claims 1-11 cannot be distinguished from the SiF4 known in the prior art, this feature of present claims 12-14 is not a differentiating figure.

Moreover, it can be seen from D1 (see for instance fig1, col 3 lines 44-46) that it is quite common to use gases comprising SiF4, together with additives (at a level to be determeined by the intended use), such as boron, a transition metal, and phosphorous, to produce glasses, optical fibers, semiconductors (See D2 p3 line19- 23) or solar cells.

Consequently, present claims 12-14 lack an inventive step.

<u>claims 8-11</u>

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/014419

In view of D2 (WO 00/76915), it can be seen that the purification step of present claim 8 lies within the skilled practitionner knowing D3, in particular p2 I10-11,19, p5 I26, p6 I16-19, p17 I17-19 claims 2, 13 as well as D4 p14 I14-p17 I32 Consequently, claims 8-11 lack an inventive step.

claims 1-7

The step of decomposing H2SiF6 with H2S04 is known from D3 (EP0129112, see claims 1); nevertheless, the process ofpresent claim one, as a whole, is not disclosed by any of the prior art cited. Consequently, claims 1-8 are novel.

Nevertheless it is felt, that claim 1 lacks an inventive step as it seems to lies within the reach of a skilled practitionner knowing the said prior art.

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1, D3-D4 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.